

# Spectral Theory and Jordan Canonical Form

Yan Zeng

Version 1.0, last revised on 2014-05-04.

## Abstract

Summary of spectral theory (including Jordan canonical form) as presented in Lax[2].

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Eigenvalues, Eigenvectors</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Characteristic Polynomial</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Spectral Mapping Theorem</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Cayley-Hamilton Theorem</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Spectral Theorem</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Minimal Polynomial</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Index and Multiplicities</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>When Are Two Matrices Similar (and Jordan Canonical Form)</b>	<b>6</b>

The content of this note is based on Lax[2] Chapter 6 and Appendix 15. To make use of the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra so that characteristic polynomials have a full set of roots, the spectral theory of linear maps is formulated in linear spaces over the field of complex numbers.

## 1 Eigenvalues, Eigenvectors

**Proposition 1.** *Every  $n \times n$  matrix over the field of complex numbers has an eigenvector in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .*

*Proof.* Choose any nonzero vector  $w$ , the following set of  $n + 1$  vectors must be linearly dependent:

$$w, Aw, A^2w, \dots, A^n w.$$

This implies the existence of a polynomial  $p(t) = \sum_{j=0}^n c_j t^j$  over the complex numbers, such that  $p(A)w = 0$ . By the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra,  $p(t)$  can be written as a product of linear factors:

$$p(t) = c \prod_{j=1}^n (t - a_j), \quad a_j, c \in \mathbb{C}, c \neq 0,$$

and consequently,  $p(A)w = 0$  can be rewritten as

$$c \prod_{j=1}^n (A - a_j I)w = 0.$$

This implies at least one of the matrices  $(A - a_j I)$  is not invertible; such a matrix  $(A - a_{j_0} I)$  has a nontrivial nullspace. So, there is an eigenvector pertaining to the eigenvalue  $a_{j_0}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.** *Eigenvectors of a matrix  $A$  corresponding to distinct eigenvalues are linearly independent.*

*Proof.* Consider a nontrivial linear relation among the eigenvectors that involves the least number  $m$  of eigenvectors:

$$\sum_{j=1}^m b_j h_j = 0, \quad b_j \neq 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, m;$$

here  $h_j$  is the eigenvector pertaining to eigenvalue  $a_j$  ( $a_j \neq a_k$  for  $j \neq k$ ). Applying  $A$  to the equation, we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^m b_j a_j h_j = 0,$$

and hence

$$a_m \sum_{j=1}^m b_j h_j - \sum_{j=1}^m b_j a_j h_j = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j (a_m - a_j) h_j = 0.$$

Clearly the coefficient of  $h_m$  is zero and none of the others is zero, so we have a nontrivial linear relation among the  $h_j$  involving only  $(m - 1)$  of the vectors, contrary to the  $m$  being the smallest number of vectors satisfying such a relation.  $\square$

## 2 Characteristic Polynomial

Proposition 1 shows that every matrix  $A$  has at least one eigenvalue, but it does not show how many or how to calculate them. Recall Lax[2] Chapter 5, Corollary 3 states that “an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  is invertible iff  $\det A \neq 0$ ”. This implies the following

**Proposition 3.** *For  $a$  to be an eigenvalue of  $A$  it is necessary and sufficient that*

$$\det(aI - A) = 0.$$

The polynomial  $p_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A)$  is called the **characteristic polynomial** of the matrix  $A$ .

### 3 Spectral Mapping Theorem

**Theorem 1 (Spectral Theory, part 1: spectral mapping theorem).** (a) Let  $q$  be any polynomial,  $A$  a square matrix,  $a$  an eigenvalue of  $A$ . Then  $q(a)$  is an eigenvalue of  $q(A)$ .

(b) Every eigenvalue of  $q(A)$  is of the form  $q(a)$ , where  $a$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$ .

*Proof.* (a) is easy to prove. For (b), suppose  $b$  is an eigenvalue of  $q(A)$ . Then  $q(A) - bI$  is not invertible. By the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra,  $q(s) - b$  can be decomposed as

$$q(s) - b = c \prod (s - r_i),$$

where  $r_i$ 's may repeat. By  $q(A) - bI = c \prod (A - r_i I)$ , at least one  $A - r_i I$  is not invertible; such an  $r_i$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$ . Note  $q(r_i) = b$ , we are done.  $\square$

### 4 Cayley-Hamilton Theorem

**Theorem 2 (Cayley-Hamilton).** Every matrix  $A$  satisfies its own characteristic equation:

$$p_A(A) = 0.$$

The proof of Cayley-Hamilton Theorem relies on a clever use of the following version of Cramer's rule:

**Proposition 4.** Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix and  $B$  defined as the matrix of cofactors of  $A$ ; that is,

$$B_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \det A_{ji},$$

where  $A_{ji}$  is the  $(ji)$ th minor of  $A$ . Then  $AB = BA = \det A \cdot I_{n \times n}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $A$  has the column form  $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . By replacing the  $j$ th column with the  $i$ th column in  $A$ , we obtain

$$M = (a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, a_i, a_j, \dots, a_n).$$

On one hand, Property (i) of a determinant gives  $\det M = \delta_{ij} \det A$ ; on the other hand, Laplace expansion of a determinant gives

$$\det M = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+j} a_{ki} \det A_{kj} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ki} B_{jk} = (B_{j1}, \dots, B_{jn}) \begin{pmatrix} a_{1i} \\ \vdots \\ a_{ni} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Combined, we can conclude  $\det A \cdot I_{n \times n} = BA$ . By replacing the  $i$ th column with the  $j$ th column in  $A$ , we can get similar result for  $AB$ .  $\square$

*Proof.* (Cayley-Hamilton) Let  $Q(s) = sI - A$  and  $P(s)$  defined as the matrix of cofactors of  $Q(s)$ :

$$P_{ij}(s) = (-1)^{i+j} D_{ji}(s),$$

where  $D_{ij}$  is the determinant of the  $ij$ th minor of  $Q(s)$ . By Proposition 4,

$$P(s)Q(s) = \det Q(s) \cdot I = p_A(s)I.$$

Since  $Q(A) = 0$ , it follows that

$$p_A(A) = 0.$$

$\square$

## 5 Spectral Theorem

**Theorem 3 (Spectral Theory, part 2: spectral theorem).** *Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix with complex entries. Every vector in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  can be written as a sum of eigenvectors of  $A$ , genuine or generalized.*

*Proof.* The key to the proof is the following observation:

$$N_{pq} = N_p \oplus N_q,$$

where  $p$  and  $q$  are polynomials with complex coefficients and have no common zero,  $N_p = \ker p(A)$ ,  $N_q = \ker q(A)$ , and  $N_{pq} = \ker[p(A)q(A)]$ . This observation is based on the existence of two polynomials  $a$  and  $b$  such that

$$ap + bq \equiv 1. \tag{1}$$

Equation (1) holds for the ring of polynomials over a field, and more generally, for a principal ideal ring or a Euclidean domain. See any textbook on abstract algebra for reference.

By induction, we can easily conclude

$$N_{p_1 \cdots p_k} = N_{p_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus N_{p_k},$$

where  $p_1, \dots, p_k$  are a collection of polynomials that are pairwise without a common zero. In particular, if we denote  $A$ 's distinct eigenvalues by  $a_1, \dots, a_k$ , the characteristic polynomial  $p_A(s)$  can be written as

$$p_A(s) = c \prod_{i=1}^k (s - a_i)^{m_i}.$$

and hence by Theorem 2 (Cayley-Hamilton)

$$\mathbb{C}^n = N_{p_A} = N_{m_1}(a_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus N_{m_k}(a_k),$$

where  $N_{m_i}(a_i) = \ker(A - a_i I)^{m_i}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ). This finishes our proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Real symmetric matrices can be diagonalized.*

*Proof.* It suffices to show for any eigenvalue  $a$  of a real symmetric matrix  $A$ , we have  $d(a) = 1$ . Indeed, assume  $N_1(a) \subsetneq N_2(a)$ , then for any  $x \in N_2(a) \setminus N_1(a)$ , we have

$$(aI - A)x \neq 0, (aI - A)^2 x = 0.$$

But  $(aI - A)^2 x = 0$  means

$$\langle (aI - A)x, (aI - A)x \rangle = \langle (aI - A)^2 x, x \rangle = 0,$$

which implies  $(aI - A)x = 0$ , contradiction. So we must have  $d(a) = 1$ .  $\square$

## 6 Minimal Polynomial

Denote by  $\wp = \wp_A$  the set of all polynomials  $p$  which satisfy  $p(A) = 0$ . Denote by  $m$  a nonzero polynomial of smallest degree in  $\wp$ , then

**Proposition 5.** *All  $p$  in  $\wp$  are multiples of  $m$ , and except for a constant factor,  $m$  is unique.*

We denote by  $m_A$  the unique  $m$  whose leading coefficient is 1, and call  $m_A$  the **minimal polynomial** of  $A$ .

*Proof.* Use Euclidean division.  $\square$

For given  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ , we denote by  $N_m = N_m(a)$  the nullspace of  $(A - aI)^m$ . The subspaces  $N_m$  consist of generalized eigenvectors; they are indexed increasingly:

$$N_1 \subset N_2 \subset \cdots .$$

Since these are subspaces of a finite-dimensional space, they must be equal from a certain index on.<sup>1</sup> We denote by  $d = d(a)$  the smallest such index, that is

$$N_d = N_{d+1} = \cdots$$

but

$$N_{d-1} \neq N_d;$$

$d(a)$  is called the **index** of the eigenvalue  $a$ .

**Theorem 4** (Minimal Polynomial). *Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix: denote its distinct eigenvalues by  $a_1, \dots, a_k$ , and denote the index of  $a_j$  by  $d_j$ . We claim that the minimal polynomial  $m_A$  is*

$$m_A(s) = \prod_{i=1}^k (s - a_i)^{d_i}.$$

*Proof.* A number is an eigenvalue of  $A$  if and only if it's a root of the characteristic polynomial  $p_A$ . So  $p_A(s)$  can be written as  $p_A(s) = \prod_{i=1}^k (s - a_i)^{m_i}$  with each  $m_i$  a positive integer ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ). We have shown in the text that  $p_A$  is a multiple of  $m_A$ , so we can assume  $m_A(s) = \prod_{i=1}^k (s - a_i)^{r_i}$  with each  $r_i$  satisfying  $0 \leq r_i \leq m_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ). We argue  $r_i = d_i$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

Indeed, we have

$$\mathbb{C}^n = N_{p_A} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^k N_{m_j}(a_j) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^k N_{d_j}(a_j).$$

where the last equality comes from the observation  $N_{m_j}(a_j) \subseteq N_{m_j+d_j}(a_j) = N_{d_j}(a_j)$  by the definition of  $d_j$ . This shows the polynomial  $\prod_{j=1}^k (s - a_j)^{d_j} \in \wp$ . By the definition of minimal polynomial,  $r_j \leq d_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

Assume for some  $j$ ,  $r_j < d_j$ , we can then find  $x \in N_{d_j}(a_j) \setminus N_{r_j}(a_j)$  with  $x \neq 0$ . Define  $q(s) = \prod_{i=1, i \neq j}^k (s - a_i)^{r_i}$ , then by Corollary 10  $x$  can be uniquely decomposed into  $x' + x''$  with  $x' \in N_q$  and  $x'' \in N_{r_j}(a_j)$ . We have  $0 = (A - a_j I)^{d_j} x = (A - a_j I)^{d_j} x' + 0$ . So  $x' \in N_q \cap N_{d_j}(a_j) = \{0\}$ . This implies  $x = x'' \in N_{r_j}(a_j)$ . Contradiction. Therefore,  $r_i \geq d_i$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

Combined, we conclude  $m_A(s) = \prod_{i=1}^k (s - a_i)^{d_i}$ . □

**Remark 1.** *Along the way, we have shown that the index  $d$  of an eigenvalue is no greater than the multiplicity of the eigenvalue as a root of the characteristic polynomial. This is also a consequence of the theorem itself, since  $m_A(s)$  divides  $p_A(s)$ .*

## 7 Index and Multiplicities

We summarize several relationships among index, algebraic multiplicity, geometric multiplicity, and the dimension of the space of generalized eigenvectors pertaining to a given eigenvalue. The first result is an algebraic proof of Lax[2, page 132], Lemma 10 of Chapter 9.

**Proposition 6 (Geometric and algebraic multiplicities).** *Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $\alpha$  an eigenvalue of  $A$ . If  $m(\alpha)$  is the multiplicity of  $\alpha$  as a root of the characteristic polynomial  $p_A$  of  $A$ , then  $\dim N_1(\alpha) \leq m(\alpha)$ .*

<sup>1</sup>To see it's impossible to have the scenario where  $N_d = N_{d+1}$  but  $N_d \neq N_l$  for some  $l > d + 1$ , we note  $\forall x \in N_{d+2}$ ,

$$(A - aI)x \in N_{d+1} = N_d.$$

So  $x \in N_{d+1} = N_d$ . Then we work by induction.

$m(\alpha)$  is called the **algebraic multiplicity** of  $\alpha$  and  $\dim N_1(\alpha)$  is called the **geometric multiplicity** of  $\alpha$ . So this result says “geometric multiplicity  $\dim N_1(\alpha) \leq$  algebraic multiplicity  $m(\alpha)$ ”.

*Proof.* Let  $v_1, \dots, v_s$  be a basis of  $N_1(\alpha)$  and extend it to a basis of  $\mathbb{F}^n$ :  $v_1, \dots, v_s, u_1, \dots, u_r$ . Define  $U = (v_1, \dots, v_s, u_1, \dots, u_r)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} U^{-1}AU &= U^{-1}A(v_1, \dots, v_s, u_1, \dots, u_r) \\ &= U^{-1}(\alpha v_1, \dots, \alpha v_s, Au_1, \dots, Au_r) \\ &= (\alpha U^{-1}v_1, \dots, \alpha U^{-1}v_s, U^{-1}Au_1, \dots, U^{-1}Au_r). \end{aligned}$$

Because  $U^{-1}U = I$ , we must have  $U^{-1}AU = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha I_{s \times s} & B \\ 0 & C \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\det(\lambda I - A) = \det(\lambda I - U^{-1}AU) = \det \begin{bmatrix} (\lambda - \alpha)I_{s \times s} & -B \\ 0 & \lambda I_{(n-s) \times (n-s)} - C \end{bmatrix} = (\lambda - \alpha)^s \det(\lambda I - C)$ .<sup>2</sup> So  $s \leq m(\alpha)$ .  $\square$

We continue to use the notation from Proposition 6, and we define  $d(\alpha)$  as the index of  $\alpha$ . Then we have

**Proposition 7 (Index and algebraic multiplicity).**  $d(\alpha) \leq m(\alpha)$ .

*Proof.* Use the result on minimal polynomial (Theorem 4) or its remark.  $\square$

Using the notations from Propositions 6 and 7, we have

**Proposition 8 (Algebraic multiplicity and the dimension of the space of generalized eigenvectors).**  $m(\alpha) = \dim N_{d(\alpha)}(\alpha)$ .

*Proof.* See Lax[2, page 133], Theorem 11 of Chapter 9.  $\square$

In summary, we have

$$\dim N_1(\alpha), d(\alpha) \leq m(\alpha) = \dim N_{d(\alpha)}(\alpha).$$

In words, it becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{geometric multiplicity of } \alpha, \text{ index of } \alpha \\ &\leq \text{algebraic multiplicity of } \alpha \text{ as a root of the characteristic polynomial} \\ &= \text{dim. of the space of generalized eigenvectors pertaining to } \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

## 8 When Are Two Matrices Similar (and Jordan Canonical Form)

**Theorem 5 (Spectral Theory, part 3: Jordan canonical form).** (i) Suppose the pair of matrices  $A$  and  $B$  are similar,

$$A = SBS^{-1},$$

$S$  some invertible matrix. Then  $A$  and  $B$  have the same eigenvalues:

$$a_1 = b_1, \dots, a_k = b_k;$$

furthermore, the null spaces  $N_m(a_j) = \ker(A - a_j I)^m$  and  $M_m(a_j) = \ker(B - a_j I)^m$  have for all  $j$  and  $m$  the same dimension:

$$\dim N_m(a_j) = \dim M_m(a_j). \quad (2)$$

(ii) Conversely, if  $A$  and  $B$  have the same eigenvalues, and if condition (2) about the nullspaces having the same dimension is satisfied, then  $A$  and  $B$  are similar.

*Proof.* Part (i) is obvious. For part (ii), see Lax[2, page 363], Appendix 15.  $\square$

**Corollary 2 (Jordan canonical form).** Every  $n \times n$  matrix over complex field is similar to a Jordan canonical form.

<sup>2</sup>For the last equality, see, for example, Munkres [1, page 24], Problem 6.

## References

- [1] J. Munkres. *Analysis on manifolds*, Westview Press, 1997.
- [2] P. Lax. *Linear algebra and its applications*, 2nd Edition, Wiley-Interscience, 2007.